

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,635	03/19/2004	Takeshi Kimura	21334-1318	7752	
29450 7	590 05/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BARLEY SNYDER, LLC			VU, HIEN D		
1000 WESTLAKES DRIVE, SUITE 275 BERWYN, PA 19312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2833		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/804,635	KIMURA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hien D. Vu	2833				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specil  - If NO period for reply is specil  - Failure to reply within the second reply received by the Company of the	OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  in the mailing date of this communication.  fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  ecified above, the maximum statutory period w  et or extended period for reply will, by statute,	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 May 0218.						
2a)☐ This action is <b>F</b>	FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O:G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s)	<del>-</del>						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specificatio	n is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s)	)│ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119						
a)⊠ All b)□ So  1.⊠ Certified  2.□ Certified  3.□ Copies o  applicatio	me * c) None of:  copies of the priority documents  copies of the priority documents  of the certified copies of the prior  on from the International Bureau	s have been received in Application ity documents have been received	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cit	ed (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u>	tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) /19/04.	5)  Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/804,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. Applicant's election of species 1, figs. 1-7, claims 1-6 in paper dated 2/18/05, is acknowledged.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 3. (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sipe (522).

The disclosure of Sipe provides a complete response to each and every element set forth in the claims. For example, figs. 1, 3, 7 & 9 show a plurality of terminals 127, compliant sections 128, a main board 910, a plurality of sub-boards 130 equipped with lands 332, 326), contact sections 310, a mating connector 200, a housing (110, 120, 140).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sipe (522) in view of McGrath et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

Sipe does not show a part of land which is close to the edge and is narrower than the remainder of the land. McGrath et al, figs. 3 show a part of land (44a, 44b) which is close to the edge and is narrower than the remainder of the land 44. It would have been obvious to one with skill in the art to modify the connector of Sipe by forming a part of land which is close to the edge to be narrower than the remainder of the land, as taught by McGrath, in order to provide an improved edge card connector that operates with impedances in high speed signal applications.

7. Forman, Benham et al, Hasircoglu and Billman are cited for disclosure of electrical connector assembly having circuit boards.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hien D. Vu at telephone number (571) 272-2016.

Vu/ds

04/20/05

HIENVU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Him Un